UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,907	07/27/2007	Klaus Abt	016906-0545	3413
	7590 02/07/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE		HEWITT, JAMES M		
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,907	ABT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JAMES M. HEWITT	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (1) an amendment, affidavited (2) an amendment (2) an amend	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8 and 21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-20.</u>			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a Na	stice of Appeal will not	ha antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/James M Hewitt/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3679	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states "The Office fails to identify what element of Leichtl corresponds to a deformable connection piece edge region." Examiner disagrees. That region at least includes portions (30), (32) as indicated in the final office action. Applicant states that portions (30, (32) "do not appear to be a part of the same element where the element is deformable (Leichtl at Fig. 1)." Examiner disagrees. From col. 2, II. 20-28 in Leichtl, "The spout has a lower cylindrical portion 30 extending into the opening. The lower portion has a plurality (in this case four) outwardly extending tabs 32." Tabs (32) are part of portion (30). Applicant asserts "Additionally, Leichtl fails to disclose, teach or suggest 'a portion configured to extend in a direction substantially parallel to the longitudinal axis." Examiner disagrees. The longitudinal axis of the connection piece (24) is vertical in Fig. 1. Cylindrical portion (30) also extends in the vertical direction and parallel to the longitudinal axis. Applicant also argues that Leichtl does not disclose or teach "a sloped portion, extending from the portion, configured to run at an angle with respect to the longitudinal axis, and a projection extending from the sloped portion." Examiner disagrees. The cylindrical portion (30) is considered only that portion extending in the longitudinal direction. The projection (32) is considered as only that portion that extends orthogonal to the longitudinal axis. The sloped portion is defined as a portion between this cylindrical portion (30) and tab (32). Note that the term "portion" is very broad, and can constitute a significant range, from very small to very large.